

The 21st December, 1982

No. ID/KNL/118/82/56297.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Surat Singh and the management of M/s Haryana Roadways, Karnal, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Surat Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

S. K. SHARMA,

Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana,  
Labour and Employment Departments.

The 23rd December, 1982

No. ID/FD/210/82/56684.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Mankeshwar Parsad Yadav and the management of M/s Anand Synthetics Pvt. Ltd., 14/2, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. F15-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mankeshwar Parsad Yadav was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/281/82/56697.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Bechai and the management of M/s Joy B Industries, Plot No. 162 Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Bechai was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

V. S. CHAUDHRI, Dy. Secy.

### LATE NOTIFICATIONS